

School Accountability Report Card Reported for School Year 2008-09 Published During 2009-10

Executive Summary School Accountability Report Card, 2008-09

Nesbit Elementary School

Address: 500 Biddulph Way , Belmont CA 94002-2711
Principal: Cherie Ho (to 2008-2009)
Kathryn Kelley (starting 2009-2010)

Phone:  650-637-4860 
Grade Span: K - 5

This executive summary of the School Accountability Report Card (SARC) is intended to provide parents and community members with a quick snapshot of school accountability. The data presented in this report are reported for the 2008-09 school year, except the School Finances and School Completion data that are reported for the 2007-08 school year. For additional information about the school, parents and community members should review the entire SARC or contact the school principal or the district office.

About This School

Nesbit Elementary was recognized as a California Distinguished School in 2008. It is a neighborhood K-5 school located near Hwy 101 and El Camino Real. The school campus is nestled in a park like setting with large athletic fields for community use.

The diverse student population of 366 is made up of families from Belmont and Redwood Shores. Academic excellence, good character and development of social skills, are all necessary for students to become good citizens in their community. School provides support for reading and English Language Development, and offers after school programs to encourage extensions to learning. Nesbit has a wireless learning lab and library to enhance the development of literacy and provide community learning resources.

Our PTA provides fiscal resources for classroom materials, field trips and assemblies. Our Parent Involvement & Leadership Facilitator provides outreach to our parents to become leaders and supporters of the educational process and our School Climate Facilitator promotes conflict management skills for effective communication. The API score for this year (2008-2009) was 832 with 59% of our students scoring proficient or advanced in English Language Arts and 67% proficient or advanced in math. 64% of our fifth graders scored proficient or advanced in science.

Student Enrollment

Group	Percent
African American	4.92 %
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.55 %
Asian	20.49 %
Filipino	5.46 %
Hispanic or Latino	15.85 %
Pacific Islander	1.91 %
White (not Hispanic)	44.81 %
Multiple or No Response	6.01 %
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	15.00 %
English Learners	19.00 %
Students with Disabilities	17.00 %
Total Number of Students	366

Teachers

Indicator	Teachers
Teachers with full credential	21
Teachers without full credential	1
Teachers Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0

Student Performance

Subject	Students Proficient and Above on California Standards Tests
English-Language Arts	59%
Mathematics	67%
Science	64%
History-Social Science	N/A

Academic Progress

Indicator	Result
2009 Growth API Score (from 2009 Growth API Report)	832
Statewide Rank (from 2008 Base API Report)	7
2009-10 Program Improvement Status (PI Year)	Not in PI

School Facilities

Summary of Most Recent Site Inspection

Site inspection by the South County Fire Department noted improved clean up and energy conservation by staff in complying with electrical appliance usage and storage of materials. Exit signs were replaced in the front office and multipurpose rooms and fire extinguisher signs were placed in classrooms. Inspection of the wheelchair lift was positive.

Repairs Needed

A major repair was made to a water pipe in the front of the school. A valve was broken and replaced. Repairs were made on large playground equipment. An "S" hook was welded for a ring. New paint was applied to lunch tables and benches. Lighting fixtures were replaced in rooms 5-10. The flagpole was painted and new flag clips installed.

Corrective Actions Taken or Planned

Bathroom plumbing fixtures in the outside bathrooms were repaired and replaced when necessary. The faucets in the indoor bathrooms need to be replaced or repaired, as the battery motion detection systems are not consistently working. The lower branches of the large redwood tree in the front of the school were trimmed for safety reasons.

Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Core Curriculum Areas	Pupils Who Lack Textbooks and Instructional Materials
Reading/Language Arts	0%
Mathematics	0%
Science	0%
History-Social Science	0%
Foreign Language	N/A
Health	0%
Visual and Performing Arts	N/A

School Finances

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted Sources Only)
School Site	\$6,574
District	\$5,615
State	\$5,512

NAEP Reading, Grade 4

Level	Result
Average Scale Score - State	209
Average Scale Score - National	220
Achievement Level - Basic	30%
Achievement Level - Proficient	18%
Achievement Level - Advanced	5%

NAEP Mathematics, Grade 4

Level	Result
Average Scale Score - State	232
Average Scale Score - National	239
Achievement Level - Basic	72%
Achievement Level - Proficient	30%
Achievement Level - Advanced	5%

School Accountability Report Card Reported for School Year 2008-09 Published During 2009-10

The School Accountability Report Card (SARC), which is required by law to be published annually, contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. More information about SARC requirements is available on the California Department of Education (CDE) [SARC](#) Web page. For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

I. Data and Access

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE [DataQuest](#) Web page that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., Academic Performance Index [API], Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).





Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2009-10)

This section provides the schools contact information.

School		District	
School Name	Nesbit Elementary	District Name	Belmont-Redwood Shores Elementary
Street	500 Biddulph Way	Phone Number	 650-637-4800 
City, State, Zip	Belmont , CA 94002-2711	Web Site	nesbit.brssd.org
Phone Number	 650-637-4860 	Superintendent	Emerita Orta-Camilleri
Principal	Cherie Ho (to 2008-2009) Kathryn Kelley (2009-2010 ...)	E-mail Address	eorta-camilleri@brssd.org
E-mail Address	cho@brssd.org kkelley@brssd.org	CDS Code	41- 68866- 6043475

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

Nesbit School, located in the City of Belmont on the peninsula south of San Francisco, is one of five elementary schools in the Belmont- Redwood Shores School District. Students are promoted to the 6th grade at Ralston Middle School, the only middle school in the District. The Nesbit School community consists of families for whom education is a priority. The school is the hub of the community for many of these parents. Families enthusiastically support the Parent Teacher Association, School Site Council and countless volunteer activities and projects. Pride in Nesbit's distinguished school recognition was reflected from all members of the school community.

Mission and Goals

Nesbit School seeks to create a safe, nurturing and respectful learning environment where diversity is valued. To achieve this vision, Nesbit students, parents and staff work collaboratively to help students acquire a solid academic foundation, build strong character, resolve conflicts, develop confidence, set and achieve challenging goals, communicate effectively, and develop a love of learning.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about opportunities for parents to become involved with school activities.

- | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| • Book Fair | • 5th grade graduation party |
| • Candy Cane Lane | • Halloween Festival |
| • Character Education | • Hot Lunch Program |
| • Classroom field trips (chaperones) | • Library Volunteers |
| • Classroom Helpers | • Parent education |
| • Classroom supplies | • Parent Teacher Association |
| • Computer education | • Read Across America |
| • Discovery Day | • Red Ribbon Week |
| • Emergency Preparedness | • School Force |
| • Escrip | • School Site Council |
| • Family Math | • Write a Check Campaign |

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the number of students enrolled in each grade level at the school.

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	175
Grade 1	28
Grade 2	40
Grade 3	43
Grade 4	37
Grade 5	43
Total Enrollment	366

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the percent of students enrolled at the school who are identified as being in a particular group.

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
African American	4.92 %
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.55 %
Asian	20.49 %
Filipino	5.46 %
Hispanic or Latino	15.85 %
Pacific Islander	1.91 %
White (not Hispanic)	44.81 %
Multiple or No Response	6.01 %
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	15.00 %
English Learners	19.00 %
Students with Disabilities	17.00 %

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

This table displays by grade level the average class size and the number of classrooms that fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom).

Grade Level	2006-07			2007-08			2008-09					
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K	20.0	7			20.0	7			19.5	8		
1	19.0	2			20.0	2			16.0	3		
2	20.0	2			20.0	2			20.0	2		
3	19.0	2			20.0	2			20.0	2		
4	28.0		1		29.0		1		26.0		1	
5	24.0		1		30.0		1		27.0		1	
6												
K-3												
3-4												
4-8	27.0		1		28.0		1		26.0		1	
Other												

III. School Climate

School Safety Plan (School Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan.

Nesbit School has a Comprehensive School Safety Plan in place, which meets all of the requirements of SB 187. The plan is reviewed annually and updated as needed. The plan includes:

- Emergency response information
- School maps
- Roles of personnel
- Emergency preparedness guidelines
- Procedures to follow during specified emergencies
- A management plan
- First-aid procedures

Drills are held on a regular basis for fire and earthquake safety. Nesbit's PTA sponsors a drive each year to ensure that each classroom has portable basic emergency supplies.

Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays the rate of suspensions and expulsions (the total number of incidents divided by the total enrollment) at the school and district levels for the most recent three-year period.

Rate	School			District		
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Suspensions	0.8	0.0	0.0	4.3	4.7	7.3
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0

IV. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2009-10)

This section provides information about the condition of the school's grounds, buildings, and restrooms, and a description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements.

Nesbit School remains in good condition with few repairs needed. The district has a long term maintenance rotation to bring the conditions of all facilities in good repair. Grounds were kept neat and well trimmed throughout the year and grass and flowers are watered and maintained weekly.

Age of Buildings: Nesbit School was built in 1954. There are 21 classrooms, a library, a media center, a resource room, a speech and language room, a music room and a multipurpose room. The school was modernized in 1990 with five portable classrooms installed on campus for pre-school and after school care.

Cleaning Standards: The District has adopted cleaning standards for each school, and a copy of these is available in the school office. The principal works daily with the custodial staff to develop and implement cleaning practices that ensure a clean and safe school campus.

Maintenance and Repair: District maintenance staff, assisted if necessary by outside contractors, keep the school in good repair. Work orders are completed in a timely manner, and tracked on a computerized system of record keeping, which allows priority for emergency repairs.

Complaints and Reports of Conditions Requiring Remedy: The school office offers and receives notices of complaint procedures that follow district policy. Conditions requiring remedy are reported to the office and responded to through the work order process.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the results of the most recently completed school site inspection to determine the school facility's good repair status.

Item Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	N/A	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	N/A		X		Paint on outside doors/summer repair
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	N/A	X			
Electrical: Electrical	N/A	X			Replacement of light fixtures
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	N/A		X		Replaced water faucets/additional faucets
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	N/A	X			Replaced exit signs
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	N/A	X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	N/A	X			Repaired rings on play structure
Overall Rating	N/A	X			N/A

V. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

This table displays the number of teachers assigned to the school with a full credential, without a full credential, and those teaching outside of their subject area of competence. Detailed information about teacher qualifications can be found on the CDE [DataQuest](#) Web page.

	School			District

Teachers	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2008-09
With Full Credential	19	20	21	145
Without Full Credential	0	0	1	2
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0	N/A

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

This table displays the number of teacher misassignments (teachers assigned without proper legal authorization) and the number of vacant teacher positions (not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester). Note: Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Indicator	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Core Academic Classes Taught by No Child Left Behind Compliant Teachers (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the percent of classes in core academic subjects taught by No Child Left Behind (NCLB) compliant and non-NCLB compliant teachers in the school, in all schools in the district, in high-poverty schools in the district, and in low-poverty schools in the district. High poverty schools are defined as those schools with student participation of approximately 75 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low poverty schools are those with student participation of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program. More information on teacher qualifications required under NCLB can be found on the CDE [Improving Teacher and Principal Quality](#) Web page.

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by NCLB Compliant Teachers	Taught by Non-NCLB Compliant Teachers
This School	100.0	0.0
All Schools in District	97.6	2.4
High-Poverty Schools in District	N/A	N/A
Low-Poverty Schools in District	97.6	2.4

VI. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays, in units of full-time equivalents (FTE), the number of academic counselors and other support staff who are assigned to the school and the average number of students per academic counselor. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor		
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	.665	N/A
Psychologist	0.5	N/A
Social Worker		N/A
Nurse		N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.0	N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)		N/A
Other		

VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays information about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school, and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Core Curriculum Area	Quality, Currency, and Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials	Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks and Instructional Materials
Reading/Language Arts	Houghton Mifflin: <i>Reading</i>	0%
Mathematics	McGraw Hill: <i>Everyday Mathematics</i>	0%
Science	Delta Education: <i>Full Option Science System</i> for Kindergarten through 5th grade	0%
History-Social Science	Grades K-5: Pearson Scott Foresman: <i>Scott Foresman History/Social Science for California</i>	0%
Foreign Language	N/A	N/A
Health	Grades K-2: Wright Group: <i>Primarily Health</i> Grade 3: Healthy Promotion: <i>Wave</i> Grade 4-5: Harcourt Brace: <i>Healthy You</i> Plus materials from the American Heart Association and the National Dairy Council	0%
Visual and Performing Arts	N/A	N/A

VIII. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2007-08)

This table displays a comparison of the school's per pupil expenditures from unrestricted (basic) sources with other schools in the district and throughout the state, and a comparison of the average teacher salary at the school site with average teacher salaries at the district and state levels. Detailed information regarding school expenditures can be found on the CDE [Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending](#) Web page and teacher salaries can be found on the CDE [Certificated Salaries & Benefits](#) Web page.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental / Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic / Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$9,360	\$2,786	\$6,574	\$90,114
District	N/A	N/A	\$5,615	\$81,666
Percent Difference – School Site and District	N/A	N/A	117%	110%
State	N/A	N/A	\$5,512	\$63,421
Percent Difference – School Site and State	N/A	N/A	119%	142%

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about the programs and supplemental services that are available at the school and funded through either categorical or other sources.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Regular Education ● After School Sports ● Class Size Reduction ● Community Day School ● Guidance Counselor ● Homework Centers ● Music ● Outdoor Education ● Summer School ● School Force ● Local Grants 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Teacher Quality ● Title I ● Title II ● Title III ● Title III not LEA ● Title V ● Title VI ● School Safety Violence Prevention ● Services ● National Board Certified Teachers ● BTSA ● Staff Development ● Arts Ed Partnership ● Classroom Library ● Economic Impact Aid (EIA) ● Ed. Technology ● Gifted And Talented Education (GATE) ● Instructional Materials ● Instructional Mat/Staff Buyout ● Instructional Materials for Realignment Program (IMFRP) ● Lottery Prop 20 ● Oracle Grant ● Public School Library ● School Safety ● School Improvement Library Program (SLIP) ● Standards Based ● Tobacco Use Preventions Education ● Tolerance Ed ● TUPE Elementary
<p>Special Programs</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Home to School Transportation ● County Sp Ed ● Psychologists ● Speech ● SP Ed IDEA ● RSP, SDC & NPS ● Teachers ● Classified Staff ● Benefits ● Books & Supplies ● Dist Block Grant ● Drug Free/Title IV ● Equipment ● Food Services ● Health Services 	

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2007-08)

This table displays district salaries for teachers, principals, and superintendents, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. The table also displays teacher and administrative salaries as a percent of a district's budget, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size based on the salary schedule. Detailed information regarding salaries may be found on the CDE [Certificated Salaries & Benefits](#) Web page.

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$46,206	\$41,031
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$68,110	\$63,366
Highest Teacher Salary	\$87,974	\$80,596
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$115,886	\$100,937
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$121,553	\$105,066
Average Principal Salary (High)	N/A	\$106,534
Superintendent Salary	\$188,325	\$147,438
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	40.30 %	40.60 %
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	7.60 %	6.10 %

IX. Student Performance

Standardized Testing and Reporting Program

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including the California Standards Tests (CSTs); the California Modified Assessment (CMA), and the California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). The CSTs show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. The CSTs include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and ten through eleven. The CAPA includes ELA, mathematics, and science in grades two through eleven, and for

science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations. The CMA includes ELA and mathematics for grades three through eight and science in grade five and is an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations. Student scores are reported as performance levels. Detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, can be found on the CDE [Standardized Testing and Reporting \(STAR\) Results](#) Web site. Program information regarding the STAR Program can be found in the [Explaining 2008 STAR Program Summary Results to the Public guide](#). Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the percent of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards).

Subject	School			District			State		
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
English-Language Arts	52	52	59	73	75	79	43	46	50
Mathematics	64	62	67	72	75	77	40	43	46
Science	49	68	64	68	78	81	38	46	50
History-Social Science	0	0	0	65	59	64	33	36	41

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or privacy protection. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the percent of students, by group, achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards) for the most recent testing period.

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science
African American	*	*	*	
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	90	90	*	
Filipino	75	83	*	
Hispanic or Latino	40	47	42	
Pacific Islander	*	*	*	
White (not Hispanic)	69	80	82	
Male	62	72	64	
Female	56	60	65	
Economically Disadvantaged	42	41	*	
English Learners	16	25	*	
Students with Disabilities	26	33	*	
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or privacy protection. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2008-09)

The California Physical Fitness Test is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade

level the percent of students meeting fitness standards for the most recent testing period. Detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state levels, may be found on the CDE [Physical Fitness Testing \(PFT\)](#) Web page. Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or privacy protection. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Healthy Fitness Zones		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	21.4%	35.7%	38.1%

X. Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of the academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. Detailed information about the API can be found on the CDE [Academic Performance Index \(API\)](#) Web page.

Academic Performance Index Ranks – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools API ranks. The statewide API rank ranges from one to ten. A statewide rank of one means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of ten means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state. The similar schools API rank reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of one means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of ten means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2006	2007	2008
Statewide	8	8	7
Similar Schools	3	7	5

"N/A" means a number is not applicable or not available due to missing data.

"B" means this is either an LEA or an Alternative Schools Accountability Model (ASAM) school. Schools participating in the ASAM do not currently receive growth, target information, or statewide or similar schools rankings on this report in recognition of their markedly different educational missions and populations served. ASAM schools are covered under the Alternative Accountability system as required by Education Code Section 52052 and not the API accountability system. However, API information is needed to comply with the federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) law. Growth, target and rank information are not applicable to LEAs.

"C" means this is a special education school. Statewide and similar schools ranks are not applicable to special education schools.

" * " means this API is calculated for a small school or a small LEA, defined as having between 11 and 99 valid STAR Program test scores included in the API. APIs based on small numbers of students are less reliable and therefore should be carefully interpreted. Similar schools ranks are not calculated for small schools.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the actual API changes in points added or lost for the past three years, and the most recent API score. Note: "N/A" means that the student group is not numerically significant.

Group	Actual API Change			Growth API Score
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009
All Students at the School	0	-8	24	832
African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino				

Pacific Islander				
White (not Hispanic)	-19	-21	13	869
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged				
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities				

"N/A" means a number is not applicable or not available due to missing data.

"**" means this API is calculated for a small school, defined as having between 11 and 99 valid Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program test scores included in the API. The API is asterisked if the school was small either in 2008 or 2009. APIs based on small numbers of students are less reliable and therefore should be carefully interpreted.

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal NCLB Act requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found on the CDE [Adequate Yearly Progress \(AYP\) Web page](#).

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays an indication of whether the school and the district made AYP overall and whether the school and the district met each of the AYP criteria.

AYP Criteria	School	District
Overall	Yes	Yes
Participation Rate - English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Participation Rate - Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Percent Proficient - English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Percent Proficient - Mathematics	Yes	Yes
API	Yes	Yes
Graduation Rate	N/A	N/A

"Yes" Met 2009 AYP Criteria

"No" Did not Meet 2009 AYP Criteria

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2009-10)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. Detailed information about PI identification can be found on the CDE [Adequate Yearly Progress \(AYP\) Web page](#).

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not In PI	Not In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	N/A	N/A
Year in Program Improvement	N/A	N/A
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	N/A
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	N/A

XI. School Completion and Postsecondary Preparation

Not applicable: Nesbit is an elementary school.

XII. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

Professional Development

This section provides information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.

2006-2007: 2 days dedicated to staff development
 2007-2008: 2 days dedicated to staff development
 2008-2009: 2 days dedicated to staff development

Staff development activities are determined through a process which begins with the staff identifying needs that are related to the goals identified in the Single Plan for Student Achievement. District-wide needs identified through the Strategic Plan process are also incorporated into the plans for staff development. The calendar and topics for staff development conducted on non-student days are identified in the Single Plan for Student Achievement each year. Nesbit School's teachers participate in two days of staff development before the students return to school. One additional staff development day was conducted during the school year. Students are dismissed early on Wednesdays to provide teachers with opportunities for collaborative planning, grade level articulation, and other training. During staff development times, teachers received training in:

- a. English Language Arts
- b. Mathematics
- c. Grade Level Teaming
- d. Curriculum Planning for the Year
- e. Special Education
- f. Curriculum and Instructional Practices
- g. Standards and Assessment

Individual staff members also participated in off-site workshops and training of their choice in order to keep abreast of educational research and increase knowledge and skill in curricular areas.

XIII. National Assessment of Educational Progress

National Assessment of Educational Progress

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is a nationally representative assessment of what America's students know and can do in various subject areas. Assessments are conducted periodically in mathematics, reading, science, writing, the arts, civics, economics, geography, and U.S. history. Student scores for reading and mathematics are reported as performance levels (i.e., basic, proficient, and advanced) and the participation of students with disabilities and English language learners is reported based on three levels (identified, excluded, and assessed). Detailed information regarding the NAEP results for each grade, performance level, and participation rate can be found on the [National Assessment of Educational Progress](#) Web page (Outside Source).

Note: Only a sample group of California's schools and districts participate in the NAEP testing cycle. Therefore, students in any particular school or district may not be included in these results. The NAEP reflects state test results and is not reflective of either the LEA or the individual school. Comparisons of student performance on the NAEP and student performance on the Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program assessments cannot be made without an understanding of the key differences between the two assessment programs. For example, the NAEP only assesses grades four, eight and twelve and for long-term trends assesses grades nine, thirteen, and seventeen. Additionally, the NAEP only provides state test results for grades four and eight. The California Standards Tests (CSTs) are based on a different set of standards than the NAEP assessments. For example, the NAEP is not aligned with California academic content and achievement standards and, therefore, does not necessarily reflect the curriculum and instruction to which students are exposed in the classroom. The NAEP assesses reading and writing separately, while the CSTs assess English-language arts (ELA), encompassing reading as well as writing conventions, spelling, and grammar. Scores on the CSTs and other assessments are not directly comparable to those on NAEP. The averages and percentages presented are estimates based on samples of students rather than on entire populations. Finally, the questions students respond to are only a sample of the knowledge and skills covered by the NAEP frameworks. Information on the differences between NAEP and CST can be found on the CDE [National Assessment of Educational Progress \(NAEP\)](#) Web page.

National Assessment of Educational Progress Reading and Mathematics Results by Grade Level – Aggregated

This table displays the scale scores and achievement levels on the National Assessment of Educational Progress Results for

reading (2007) and mathematics (2009) for grades four and eight

Subject and Grade Level	Average Scale Score		State Percent at Achievement Level		
	State	National	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Reading 2007, Grade 4	209	220	30	18	5
Reading 2007, Grade 8	251	261	41	20	2
Mathematics 2009, Grade 4	232	239	41	25	5
Mathematics 2009, Grade 8	270	282	36	18	5

National Assessment of Educational Progress Reading and Mathematics Results for Students with Disabilities and/or English Language Learners by Grade Level – Aggregated

This table displays the state and national participation rates on the National Assessment of Educational Progress for reading (2007) and mathematics (2009) for students with disabilities and/or English language learners for grades four and eight.

Subject and Grade Level	State Participation Rate		National Participation Rate	
	Students With Disabilities	English Language Learners	Students With Disabilities	English Language Learners
Reading 2007, Grade 4	74	93	65	80
Reading 2007, Grade 8	78	92	66	77
Mathematics 2009, Grade 4	79	96	84	94
Mathematics 2009, Grade 8	85	96	78	92