

Annual Report
2000-2001 School Year

**BENJAMIN FOX
ELEMENTARY
SCHOOL**

Chris Marchetti, *Principal*

**3100 St. James Road
Belmont, CA 94002-2998
(650) 637-4850**

Staff, Parents and Students
Emphasizing Excellence

Belmont-Redwood Shores Elementary School District
March, 2002

Belmont-Redwood Shores Elementary School District
2960 Hallmark Drive,
Belmont, CA 94002
(650) 637-4800

2000-2001 Governing Board

Catherine Bonnar, *President*
Karen Clancy, *Vice-President*
Nancy Gisko
Colleen You
Sharon Thompson

District Office

Anne Campbell	<i>Superintendent</i>
Marcia Harter	<i>Assistant Superintendent for Curriculum, Personnel, and Student Services</i>
Jeff Keuscher	<i>Director Business Services and Operations</i>
John Eaves	<i>Supervisor of Maintenance and Operations</i>

2000-2001 School Site Council

Principal Chris Marchetti

Staff Jacquie Marques
 Tami Schilling
 Mariette VanHooidonk
 Brandon Whiteman

Parents Barbara Verderame
 Bob Lassman
 Laura Albanese
 Deann Nixon
 Margie Kaptanoglu

PREFACE

The Belmont-Redwood Shores School District Board of Trustees is proud to issue the **Annual Report for Fox School**. This report is designed to communicate to parents and the community the progress of our students and a description of the programs, activities, statistical and fiscal information for Fox School.

In 1998, the District developed a new Futures Plan that outlined a vision for the future of our schools. This Plan was developed through district-wide town hall meetings involving parents and staff. Our Futures Plan is based on our District's Vision statement.

VISION STATEMENT

Education is more than a direction or a destination; it is a process which recognizes, enhances, and celebrates individual accomplishments.

Belmont-Redwood Shores School District, in the breadth of its curricula and variety of its approaches to facilitate learning, provides an environment which nurtures and stimulates the intelligence, insight, and natural curiosity of all individuals.

Our learning community promotes and advances the intellectual, physical, social, and moral development of students in becoming responsible, contributing citizens. Students learn to work and live together constructively by respecting, honoring, and appreciating the uniqueness in themselves and others.

As we strive to implement our vision to provide a challenging and comprehensive educational program for our students, the foundation of our program is the Belmont-Redwood Shores School District Mission Statement.

MISSION STATEMENT

The mission of the Belmont-Redwood Shores School District is to promote and advance the intellectual, social, physical and moral development of all students to become responsible, contributing citizens by:

- teaching basic academic skills and their application
- setting high academic standards that inspire students to excel and succeed
- providing a challenging, comprehensive and integrated curriculum that enhances critical and creative thinking
- developing adaptability, cooperation, honesty, respect and responsibility
- creating a safe and nurturing environment
- building alliances that foster shared responsibility among home, school and community
- valuing each child as a capable and unique individual

Catherine Bonnar, President
2000-2001 Board of Trustees

Anne Campbell
Superintendent

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE	3
VISION STATEMENT	3
MISSION STATEMENT	4
INTRODUCTION	6
I. SCHOOL PROFILE	7
Mission and Goals	7
The School Community	7
Student Profile	8
Staff Profile	9
II. INSTRUCTIONAL PROGRAM	10
The Learning Environment	10
Attendance	10
Instructional Staff	11
School Site Leadership	11
Instructional Staff Qualifications	11
Student/Teacher Ratio	11
Professional Development	12
Evaluation of Staff	13
Curriculum and Instruction	13
Instructional Minutes	13
Course of Study	14
Instruction and Support for Special Needs	14
Classroom Textbooks and Materials	15
III. SCHOOL OPERATIONS	16
Facilities	16
Safety	16
IV. SCHOOL AND STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT	17
Program Effectiveness	17
Student Achievement	18
Standardized Tests	18
Developmental Assessment	18
Authentic Assessment	18
Performance Assessment	18
Progress Reports	19
Physical Fitness Tests	19
Academic Performance Index (API)	20
English Language Learners Program	21
Student Performance	21
Program Effectiveness	21
V. COMMUNITY AND FINANCIAL SUPPORT	22
Community Involvement	22
Financial Information	23
Revenue Sources	23
Breakdown of Per Pupil Costs	23
Breakdown of Personnel and Other Costs	24
Salary Comparisons	24
VI. PLANNING FOR THE FUTURE	26
Summary of Needs	26
Recommendations for Improvement	26
APPENDIX A. RESOURCES FOR PARENTS	27
APPENDIX B. EDUCATION ABBREVIATIONS	29
APPENDIX C. STAR REPORT SUMMARY CHARTS	30
APPENDIX D. CTB WRITING ASSESSMENT RESULTS	32

INTRODUCTION

California law requires that each school annually assess various school conditions, which have been organized into the following six categories:

- I. School Profile
- II. Instructional Program
- III. School Operations
- IV. School and Student Achievement
- V. Community and Financial Support
- VI. Planning for the Future

This Annual Report communicates the close cooperation between the home and school that is essential to promote the best learning environment for all children. The focus of the report is to provide you with information about our school, our resources, strengths and the areas in which we are continuing to develop.

We encourage you to read this Annual Report and share it with others. We sincerely hope this report makes you feel proud to have a student at this school. If you desire additional information, please feel free to visit or contact the school office.

Sincerely,
Chris Marchetti, *Principal*
650/637-4850
cmarch@belmont.k12.ca.us

I. SCHOOL PROFILE

Mission and Goals

At Fox Elementary School, our goal is that all students graduate to become creative, civic-minded, independent-thinking citizens. Fox School staff and parents work together to promote student intellectual, social, and moral development by:

- Setting high academic standards, including teaching the basic skills and their application;
- Connecting disparate academic subjects through themes, projects, and other integrated teaching methods;
- Developing an aesthetic education through strong instruction in the visual and performing arts;
- Emphasizing healthy living through exercise and responsible choices;
- Helping each child develop his/her sense of self through a variety of learning experiences;
- Modeling a commitment to community through volunteering.

Fox School's academic programs and sense of community nurture Fox students and prepare them to face the joys and challenges of the future.


The School Community

Fox School, located in the City of Belmont on the peninsula south of San Francisco, is one of five elementary schools in the Belmont-Redwood Shores School District. Students are promoted to the 6th grade at Ralston Middle School, the only middle school in the District.

The Fox School community consists of families for whom education is a priority. The school is the hub of the community for many of these parents. Families enthusiastically support the Parent Teacher Association, School Site Council and countless volunteer activities and projects. Pride in Fox's distinguished school recognition was reflected from all members of the school community.

Student Profile

The total enrollment is 331 students in 2000-2001; it was 335 in 1999-2000 and 331 in 1998-1999. The number of male and female students is approximately equal. The following chart shows the ethnic make-up of the school:



The percent of students receiving special instruction is noted below:

	1998-1999	1999-2000	2000-2001
• Limited English Proficiency	9.3%	3%	5%
• Gifted & Talented	5%	5%	4.5%
• Special Education	7.4%	4%	15%

Staff Profile

The regular school staff consists of fifteen full-time classroom teachers, one Resource Specialist Program teacher, one school secretary, one library media specialist, four para educators who assist in classrooms, two custodians, and one principal. The support staff includes:

Band/String/Vocal/Music Teacher	2.0 days per week
English Language Learners (ELL) Assistant	2.0 days per week
English Language Learners (ELL) Teacher	1.0 day per week
Gifted and Talented Education (GATE) Teacher	0.5 days per week
Physical Education Teacher (4th and 5th grade)	5 hours per week
Psychologist	1.0 day per week
Reading Specialist Teacher	20 weeks per school year
Science Teacher (4th and 5th Grade)	2.0 days per week
Speech & Language Specialist, MWF 8-12	3.5 days per week

The table below shows, for the most recent 3-year period, the number of teachers (including District support staff), the number who are fully credentialed and teaching in their authorized subject area, the number with emergency credentials, and the number with waivers. No teacher with a full credential taught outside their subject area.

Year	# Teachers	Full Cred.	Emergency	Waiver
1998-1999	25	25	0	0
1999-2000	25	25	0	0
2000-2001	25	25	0	0

II. INSTRUCTIONAL PROGRAM

The Learning Environment

The school's goal is excellence for all students in learning a rich, meaning-centered thinking curriculum. High expectations are fostered for each student, and the teachers at Fox School demonstrate a commitment to finding strategies and resources to realize those expectations. All students have access to a challenging and meaningful curriculum, as well as to other school activities that promote student's intellectual, ethical, cultural, emotional, and physical growth. Staff and students recognize and respect each other's achievements, personal accomplishments, and cultural diversity. Students and staff feel safe in an orderly environment that promotes pride and facilitates learning, and that also includes a high level of congeniality among students and staff. The school publishes our discipline code to clearly communicate the importance of an orderly learning environment.

Attendance

Absences are checked daily by phoning parents the first day a child is absent. Unexcused absences are monitored to observe long range trends. Promptness is encouraged in our students: parents are notified when children have been tardy several times.

For several years our attendance rate has ranged between 95% and 96%. The rate for 2000-2001 was 959%; it was 95% in 1999-2000 and 96% in 1998-1999.

Instructional Staff

School Site Leadership

The school's leadership team, composed of the principal and teachers, promote quality instruction by assessing our instructional program on a regular basis. Program Improvement Activities for the school year were created by all staff after spending time assessing our needs. All areas of the curriculum are addressed. The staff worked with the California Assessment Collaborative on developing standards for assessing student progress in the Visual and Performing Arts. These activities are listed in our School-Based Coordinated Plan.

Teachers participate in grade level and school committees, which design and implement strategies for improving instruction for students. Many of our teachers also participate on District committees.

Instructional Staff Qualifications

All teachers are credentialed by the State of California. All Fox teachers have completed graduate work.

All substitutes used by Fox School have passed a qualifying examination administered by the State of California. When a teacher is absent from class, a qualified substitute teacher teaches the class. If a substitute is not available, the class is taught by a credentialed district administrator or a teacher without a regular class assignment. Last year, teachers averaged about 2 days of absence per month. This included illness, in-service training, and other leaves.

Student/Teacher Ratio

K - 3 classrooms have a maximum class size of 20 students. 4th through 5th grades are staffed at a ratio of 27 to 1. With rare exceptions, classes do not exceed 28 students in grades 4 and 5.

Through SIP funds, instructional assistants were provided for some classrooms. Further assistance is provided by parent volunteers. They help with small group and individual instruction in various content areas.

Professional Development

Staff development activities are determined through a process which begins with the staff identifying needs that are related to the goals identified in the School Improvement Plan. District-wide needs identified through the “Futures” planning process are also incorporated into the plans for staff development. The calendar and topics for staff development conducted on non-student days are identified in the School Improvement Plan each year. Fox School’s teachers participate in one day of staff development before the students return to school. Three additional staff development days were conducted during the school year and two non-student days were devoted to parent conferences. Some adjusted Wednesdays are also used for staff development.

During these staff development times, teachers received training in:

- a. English Language Arts
- b. Mathematics
- c. Grade Level Teaming
- d. Curriculum Planning for the Year
- e. Physical Education
- f. Curriculum and Instructional Practices
- g. Visual and Performing Arts
- h. Technology
- i. Differentiated Instruction

Individual staff members also participated in additional workshops and training in these areas.

Evaluation of Staff

Formal evaluations are done at least once each year for new probationary staff and every other year for permanent staff.

Formal evaluations include an initial conference with the administrator, observations of the teacher in the classroom, and a final evaluation conference. Progress towards meeting District standards for teaching is monitored by both the teacher and the principal.

Curriculum and Instruction

Instructional Minutes

The total number of instructional minutes offered in the school year for each grade met or exceeded the state requirements for public schools in California.

Instructional Minutes Chart

<u>Grade</u>	<u>Fox School</u>	<u>District Standard</u>	<u>State Requirements</u>
Kindergarten	37,850	36,000	36,000
Grades 1-3	51,375	51,225	50,400
Grades 4-5	54,700	54,530	54,000

The school has an adjusted day each Wednesday. These are used for staff development, staff planning, staff meetings, and conferencing. Parent conferences are held twice per year.

Course of Study

- English/Language Arts
- Mathematics
- Science
- History/Social Science
- Visual and Performing Arts
- Physical Education
- Health

Instruction and Support for Special Needs

“Pull-out” programs for students with specialized needs have been scheduled in order to provide an in-depth program for all students.

Support for special needs of students begins with Student Study Teams who, meeting regularly, develop strategies to help students become more successful in school. School resources and services include:

- Referral for Special Education Assessment
- English Language Learners Program
- Gifted and Talented Education (GATE) Program
- Family Counselor services
- SIP (School Improvement Program) funded para-educators
- Special Education programs
- School Psychologist services

Classroom Textbooks and Materials

The District has adopted the following textbooks in accordance with State standards.

- *Mathland* (K-6)
- *MathSteps*, supplementary math text
- Harcourt Brace “*Signatures*” for English/Language Arts
- Houghton Mifflin for History/Social Science
- Silver Burdett Music Series
- Full Option Science System (FOSS)
- Primarily Health, Health Promotion Wave, Healthy You

The State and District provide regular classroom materials to support our program goals. Enrichment and supplemental materials are purchased through SIP funds and with the help of the Parent Teacher Association. Additionally, the PTA gives money directly to teachers to use for classroom enrichment.

Fox School has an average of one television set and VCR for every three classrooms. There are 2 scanners, four video cameras, a digital camera, and an electronic projection system available for classroom projects. There is a wide variety of software, encyclopedia and reference CD ROMs at the school site, including KidPix®, HyperStudio®, Student Writing Center®, ClarisWorks®, Microsoft Works®, and Microsoft Office®.

The school library contains over 12,500 books.

III. SCHOOL OPERATIONS

Facilities

Fox School has 20 classrooms: 15 classrooms are used for regular instruction, 2 for county programs, one for science, one for reading and one room is available as extra space to be used as needed. An additional instructional space is used for the Resource Specialist Program.

Fox School has approximately 1 computer per each 8 students. These computers are set up in “mini-labs” or pods for students to use. Each teacher has their own computer and printer work station. The library at Fox is automated on-line. Students have access to 4 computers in the library during recesses and lunch time.

Modular buildings have been made available for child care services provided by a private agency. Before and after school care provides supervision for students in K-5 five days per week as well as all day on district in-service days and some holidays.

In September 1998 every teacher in the District received a computer for both instruction and classroom management. Every staff member received mandated technology training. Every staff member was provided a telephone number and voice mailbox.

Safety

We have a plan for attending to civil defense emergencies, i.e., fire, earthquakes, and handling of toxic substances in the classroom. Drills are held on a regular basis for fire and earthquake safety.

IV. SCHOOL AND STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT

Program Effectiveness

Program effectiveness at Fox is monitored in several ways. The School Site Council looks at the School Improvement Plan and monitors progress toward the goals set in that plan. The staff examines test data, student work, and observes classrooms by grade level as well as school-wide to look for areas that need improvement efforts. The school staff sets goals for increasing the quality of student learning annually. These goals are presented to the Board of Trustees in the fall. The results are reviewed at the end of the school year.

As part of the Belmont-Redwood Shores Futures Plan, the district is developing performance standards to increase student learning and insure a rigorous academic program.

In 2000-2001 Fox School participated in the Program Quality Review (PQR) process of the School Improvement Program looking at our language arts program and specifically at students' reading. The findings were the result of coordinated efforts of the leadership team, The Program Quality Review consultants and the staff:

- A continuum of skills in the areas of accuracy, fluency, independence, and comprehension was developed.
- An improvement plan with three long-term goals was adopted:
 1. Support good first teaching and design early intervention plans.
 2. Acquire appropriate materials
 3. Support and increase enthusiasm for reading.

In 1996-97 Fox School was designated as a California Distinguished School. The award was granted after a California Department of Education team visited the school to observe and validate the school programs as described in the application. Program strengths commended include:

- Detailed information provided in student's Narrative Progress Reports shows a focus on each student's accomplishments and

emphasizes learning as a continuum. Self-assessment techniques are commendable.

- Strong student-teacher-family-community involvement.
- Innovative units of study being implemented at all grade levels.
- Special Ed. Students actively mainstreamed whenever possible.
- Fine Arts integrated throughout the curriculum as well as taught as a discrete subject.

Student Achievement

Standardized Tests

All students in grades 2-5 took a basic battery of tests using the Stanford Achievement Test 9. The results of this test can be used to compare our students with a national group of students. See Appendix C. for the latest results shown for each grade level.

Developmental Assessment

Information about a student's stage of development in several functional areas is reported. Developmental assessment is commonly done in the primary grades and is reported to parents during conference sessions scheduled periodically during the year.

Authentic Assessment

Tasks relevant to classroom learning are assigned to students with the results collected in a portfolio. Comparing progress from the first time a student performs the task to a sample done after many practices becomes the measure of achievement. Portfolios may be available in several subject areas in a student's classroom depending on the grade level of the student.

Performance Assessment

This method uses work samples of assignments students receive in the classroom to examine achievement. The work sample is compared to a set of guidelines and a set of performance standards that have been developed by teachers and students. In March 2001, 4th grade students took a writing performance assessment as part of the STAR

program. 2001 scores will provide base line data. Beginning with 2002, the students will received proficiency level designations on the writing sample. Because three years of data is not yet available, the results from the previous assessment system used (CTB) is shown in Appendix D. A six point scale was used to measure overall writing performance; a four point scale was used to measure content and vocabulary/grammar.

Progress Reports

A narrative description of student progress in specified behaviors and skills was provided in kindergarten. This progress report focuses on both social responsibility and intellectual development. Standards based progress reports were used in grades one through five to indicate student's progress in meeting grade level standards in math, language arts, history/social science, and science. Grades for achievement and effort and comments or progress were also included grades one through five. The progress report was provided to parents twice during the year in a conference format. A third report was sent home at the end of the year.

Physical Fitness Tests

Following are the results of the 5th grade fitness tests (given in the spring of 2001) showing the percent of students within or exceeding the healthy zone:

	Total
Aerobic Capacity (Mile Run)	60%
Body Composition (Height/Weight)	77%
Muscle Strength (Abdominal Curl-up)	87%
Muscle Strength (Trunk Lift)	87%
Endurance (Pull-up)	83%
Flexibility (Shoulder Stretch)	57%

Academic Performance Index (API)

Academic Performance Index (API) Reports		1999-2000 Growth Report	2000-2001 Growth Report
	Percent Tested / Number Tested	100/204	100/182
	API (Growth)	823	818
	API (Base)	792	823
	Growth Target	1	*A
	Growth	31	-5
Met Growth Target?	School-wide	Yes	Yes
	Comparable Improvement (CI)	Yes	Yes
	Both School-wide and CI	Yes	Yes
	Awards Eligible	Yes	No
		1999 Base	2000 Base
	Percent Tested / Number Tested	99/194	100/204
	API (scale of 200-1000)	792	823
	Statewide Rank	9	9
	Similar Schools Rank	2	1
	Growth Target	1	*A
	API Target	793	*A
	"*A": At or above State target of 800		

English Language Learners Program

This program provides services for foreign students who need to learn English.

Student Performance

- 73% of 15 Non-English Proficient (NEP) and Limited English Proficient (LEP) students receiving services in the English Language Learners (ELL) Program during 2000-2001 made adequate growth in English Language acquisition as measured by testing and teacher observation. The goal is 75%.
- 53% of 15 LEP students were redesignated R-FEP (Redesignated Fluent English Proficient).
- 100% of FEP and R-FEP students maintained a C average in their core curriculum classes as measured by report cards and teacher observation. The goal is 75%.
- 100% of NEP, LEP, FEP, and R-FEP students receiving Special Education services attained their IEP goals.

Program Effectiveness

- The District has a 21% redesignation rate for students in the ELL Program over the past three years. The goal is 20%.
- Five new district teachers were hired for the 2000-2001 school year who possessed certification for second language instruction. Four other district teachers were in the process of gaining this certification. There was a total of 54 district teachers with certification for second language instruction.

V. COMMUNITY AND FINANCIAL SUPPORT

Community Involvement

Number of volunteer hours: 15,000

Faculty/Community Organizations and Programs:

- Parent Teacher Association (PTA)
- School Site Council (SSC) meetings.
- “*Adopt a School*” - College of Notre Dame, Belmont
- Family counseling - FACES

Communications:

- Monthly newsletter: “*Fox Tales*”
- Room representatives
- Biweekly site newsletter - “*Fox Facts*”
- Bi-Monthly Principal’s Bulletin
- Parent Handbook
- Back to School Packet
- Classroom newsletters
- School web site: www.belmont.k12.ca.us/main/fox/

Parental Involvement:

- Classroom field trips
- Music for Minors
- Computer education
- Classroom supplies
- Healthy Living Week
- 5th grade graduation party
- Hot Lunch Program
- Fox Trot
- Horticulture
- Tutoring
- Library Volunteers
- Child Safety
- Foxtravaganza Show
- Parent education
- Non-A-Thon fund raiser
- SCRIP fund raiser
- Monetary gifts
- Family Math

Other Local Community Agencies

- Belmont Public Library
 - Belmont Rotary
 - San Mateo County Arts Council
 - Peninsula Humane Society
 - Belmont Police Department
 - South County Fire Dept.
 - “After School” (child care)
 - Peninsula Auxiliary for Children
-

Financial Information

The Belmont-Redwood Shores School District had an operating budget of just over \$17.6 million in 2000-2001. It was just over \$15.2 million in 1999-2001 and \$14.5 million in 1998-1999.

Revenue Sources


The District is a Basic Aid District, thus our largest source of revenue is from local property taxes.

	2000-2001	1999-2000	1998-1999
• Revenue Limit Sources (property taxes)	\$12,517,357	\$11,416,821	\$10,402,326
• Federal Revenues	\$446,418	\$298,539	\$169,873
• State Revenues	\$3,761,841	\$3,168,595	\$2,869,294
• Local Revenues	\$809,503	\$862,931	\$669,983
• Interfund Transfers	\$68,112	---	---

Breakdown of Per Pupil Costs

Our per pupil costs were **\$6513** for 2000-2001, \$6179 for 1999-2000 and \$5756 for 1998-1999. These costs are illustrated in the chart below as a percentage of the total budget. Three general areas are described in the chart:

- Direct pupil costs include salaries, books, supplies and equipment for the classroom (\$4831 per student);
- School site maintenance and operation costs (\$1078); and
- Prorated district administrative costs include technology, instructional support, and retiree benefits (\$604).




Breakdown of Personnel and Other Costs

The District expenses can be broken down into personnel and other costs:


- Personnel costs: teachers, administrators, maintenance workers and custodians, clerical support and classroom assistants.
- Other costs: books, in-service training, instructional and other supplies, equipment, utilities, repairs and transportation.

The District expenses for personnel costs in relation to the total budget are shown in the chart below:



Salary Comparisons

The District salaries for teachers and administrators as a percentage of the total District budget are compared in the chart below to the statewide average percentage for districts of similar size to ours:



Dollar amounts for specific positions in the District are compared to the 1998-1999 and 1999-2000 statewide averages below.

	State Average		District	
	1998-1999	1999-2000	1998-1999	1999-2000
Beginning Teacher's				
Salary*	\$30,061	\$31,647	\$35,119	\$35,119
Daily Rate	\$164	\$172	\$187	\$187
Midrange Teacher's				
Salary*	\$47,202	\$48,813	\$51,273	\$51,273
Daily Rate	\$257	\$265	\$276	\$276
Highest Teacher's				
Salary*	\$56,964	\$59,082	\$63,448	\$62,148
Daily Rate	\$310	\$321	\$341	\$341
School Site Principals				
Average Salary*	\$71,690	\$74,659	\$79,017	\$77,286
Average Daily Rate	\$341	\$355	\$384	\$375
District Superintendent's				
Salary*	\$95,045	\$100,031	\$98,000	\$99,000
Daily Rate	\$421	\$445	\$439	\$444

**Note:*

Teacher salaries in the District are for one specific teacher in the District in each category. The salary figures do not include District years of service, extra for advanced degrees, and experience step allowances. Principals' salaries are an average over all principals in the District and do include District years of service, extra for advanced degrees, and experience step increases. Superintendent's salary includes District years of service, extra for advanced degrees, and experience step increases.

VI. PLANNING FOR THE FUTURE

Summary of Needs

Within the next few years Fox sees a need for:

- Increasing the expertise of staff to provide differentiation of instruction to provide for individual learning styles and achievement levels.
- Continuing to provide a rich and strong program in the visual and performing arts for all students.
- Increasing technology training for students and staff.
- Developing classroom and school-wide activities that foster appreciation of a global society.
- Continuing to develop teachers on staff as subject matter and technology experts.

Recommendations for Improvement

- Plan to implement our State Approved Program Quality Review Action
- Plan to improve the teaching and learning of reading comprehension
- Provide staff training to increase differentiated instruction expertise (remedial to gifted).
- Provide teacher training through utilization of staff mentors in the Visual and Performing arts.
- Site, District and PTA funds and resources will be utilized for staff training in technology.
- A committee of staff and parents will develop a list of suggested classroom and school-wide activities, which can be integrated, throughout the curriculum to foster an appreciation of a global society.
- Administration and the Leadership team will continue to recruit and support staff members in becoming experts, mentors and leaders to other staff.

APPENDIX A. RESOURCES FOR PARENTS

Parent education presentations will continue through staff and Parent Teacher Association activities. The relationship with Family and Community Enrichment Services, Inc. to provide family counseling for Fox families will continue. Communication with parents through a handbook, flyers, newsletters and the school web site will be maintained. Parents are encouraged to visit classrooms, attend assemblies, participate in PTA and fill volunteer positions in order to be involved in the Fox School Family.

Additional resources available for parents include:

Alateen (& Al-Anon) Mid Peninsula Information 650/592-7935

720 El Camino Real, Belmont, CA 94002

Call for dates and times. Support group for teenage children of alcoholics. Drop-ins welcome.

Catholic Charities 650/295-2160

36 37th Ave., San Mateo, CA.

Child Care Coordinating Council 650/696-8787

700 S. Claremont, San Mateo, CA

Children's Protective Services 650/595-7922

400 Harbor Blvd., Belmont, CA

Family and Community Enrichment Services, Inc. 650/591-9623

610 Elm Street, San Carlos, CA

Serves Belmont area with individual and family counseling services.

Family Stress Service of San Mateo County 650/368-6655

1860 El Camino Real, Burlingame, CA

Offers 24-hour hotline, crisis intervention, drop-in and outreach services for families and individuals within families experiencing violent abuse, communication problems, and difficulties with parent/child relationships.

Jewish Family and Children's Services 650/591-8991
490 El Camino Real, Belmont, CA

Parental Stress Hotline 650/327-3333
24-hour hotline. Teenagers may also call.

Parents' Educational Resource Center 650/572-9161
1730 Amphlett Blvd., Suite 130 San Mateo, CA.
The Parents' Educational Resource Center (PERC) is a nonprofit organization that helps parents who have children with learning disabilities.

Mills-Peninsula Health Services Community Ed. 650/696-5400
1783 El Camino Real, Burlingame, CA
Mental health center has several drop-in groups, including parent groups for grief and loss counseling and single parenting.

Poison Control Center 1-800-876-4766; 1-800-972-3323 (TDD)

Suicide Prevention & Crisis Center 650/368-6655
1860 El Camino Real, Burlingame, CA

Teen Helpline/24 hour calls 1-800-877-7675 ; also 650/327-8336

Toughlove-San Mateo Parents Support Group 650/327-3333
Parent support group practicing the Toughlove Program.
Meets weekly. Contact school for specific details.



APPENDIX B. EDUCATION ABBREVIATIONS

ADA	Average Daily Attendance
API	Academic Performance Index
BFA	Belmont Faculty Association
CBEDS	California Basic Education Data System
CBEST	California Basic Education Skills Test
CLAS	California Learning Assessment System
CSBA	California School Boards Association
CSEA	California School Employees Association
CTA	California Teachers Association
ELL	English Language Learners
FTE	Full-Time Equivalent
GATE	Gifted and Talented Education
IEP	Individualized Education Program
LEP	Limited English Proficient
PAR	Peer Assistance & Review
PTA	Parent Teacher Association
PQR	Program Quality Review
PL94-142	Public Law 94-142 Education for All Handicapped Children Act
RSP	Resource Specialist Program
SARC	School Accountability Report Card
SIP	School Improvement Program
SDC	Special Day Class
SDE	State Department of Education
SSC	School Site Council
STAR	Standardized Testing and Reporting



APPENDIX C. STAR REPORT SUMMARY CHARTS

Comparison by Area (School, County, State)

The number of students tested affects changes in test results. **Year-to-year variation is greater for small numbers of students than for large numbers of students**, so small changes at the state level are more likely to be "real" than are the same changes for schools or small districts.




Comparison by Area (School, County, State)



APPENDIX D. CTB WRITING ASSESSMENT RESULTS

In March 2001, 4th grade students took a writing performance assessment as part of the STAR program. 2001 scores will provide base line data. Because data for 3 years is unavailable under this new program, the previous CTB results are shown here. Note that results for different school years are based on scores of different student groups (classes) and include scores of students enrolled in this District for less than one year. These trends cannot be used to conclude the presence or absence of student growth from one year to the next. Students scoring below the minimum level are encouraged to attend summer school and/or are provided with an education plan to help them achieve the minimum level of proficiency.

% of 4th Graders Scoring At or Above Minimum Standard



CTB Writing Assessment: Overall

